

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,622	09/21/2006	Kunio Fukuda	SONY JP 3.3-401	1307
	7590 02/11/201 VID, LITTENBERG.	EXAMINER		
KRUMHOLZ	& MENTLIK	PHAM, QUANG		
600 SOUTH A WESTFIELD.	VENUE WEST NJ 07090	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2612	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/11/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/567,622	FUKUDA, KUNIO				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	QUANG PHAM	2612				

	QUANG PHAM	2612	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 03 February 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THI		·	
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or this application, applicant must timely file one of the fol places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a f a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complic time periods: 	on the same day as filing a Notice o owing replies: (1) an amendment, a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid aba ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expir 	e later than SIX MONTHS from the maili	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) on TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPER		IE FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da- have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office Is may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704 NOTICE OF APPEAL	extension and the corresponding amour e shortened statutory period for reply or ter than three months after the mailing o	it of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) a
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in corfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exa Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	tension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
3. \(\sum \) The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) \(\sum \) They raise new issues that would require further (b) \(\sum \) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be	consideration and/or search (see No		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in tappeal; and/or	petter form for appeal by materially r		the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1		ejected claims.	
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1		omnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection:		ompliant Amendment	(FTOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): how the new or amended claims would be rejected is p. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-5 and 11-13. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		vill be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filling entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necess The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explana 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under app ary and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	don of the status of the claims after	erilly is below or allaci	ieu.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered	but does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s 13. Other:). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/BENJAMIN C. LEE/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2612			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: E.g. in the proposed claim 1. "In which the wireless communication system is operable to perform in a first mode and a second mode, in which in the first mode the image data along with the confirmation thereof is transmitted and in the second mode one-way transmission of the image data without the confirmation thereof is transmitted, and in which an average power utilized by the wireless communication system for the one-way transmission of the image data without confirmation thereof is approximately several 10 µW (microwatts) or less' constitues the new issue.